## Assessing Your Patients' Risk for DVT or PE

### **DVT/PE Risk Assessment Tools**

Multiple tools are available to clinically assess deep vein thrombosis (DVT) and pulmonary embolism (PE). The use of these validated clinical assessment tools has been shown to improve diagnostic accuracy in DVT and PE. The Wells Clinical Prediction Rules for DVT and PE and the Pulmonary Embolism Severity Index (PESI) score, which are the focus here, are widely used tools that have been validated across many different healthcare settings. 1-4

### **Assessing and Diagnosing DVT**

#### Wells Clinical Prediction Rule for DVT<sup>5</sup>

Clinical Feature	Score
Active cancer (ongoing treatment, treatment within 6 months, or receiving palliative care)	1
Paralysis, paresis, or recent immobilization of lower extremities	1
Recently bedridden for >3 days or major surgery within 4 weeks	1
Localized tenderness along the distribution of the deep veins	1
Entire leg swollen	1
Calf swelling by >3 cm when compared with the asymptomatic leg*	1
Pitting edema (greater in the symptomatic leg)	1
Collateral superficial veins (nonvaricose)	1
Alternative diagnosis as likely or more likely than DVT diagnosis	-2

# Calculating Your Patients' Pretest Probability of DVT<sup>5</sup>

Probability	Points
High	≥3
Moderate	1–2
Low	<1

Adapted with permission from Wells PS et al. Lancet. 1997;350(9094):1796.

Note: For patients with symptoms in both legs, the more symptomatic leg should be used.

## Assisting Your Clinical Decision Making in DVT and PE<sup>+</sup>

- The Wells Clinical Prediction Rules allow healthcare professionals (HCPs) to accurately determine the probability that a patient has DVT or PE before more definitive diagnostic testing is conducted<sup>6,7</sup>
- Use of the Wells Clinical Prediction Rule for DVT, combined with a negative p-dimer test, is also an effective diagnostic strategy for ruling out DVT<sup>6</sup>
- A negative SimpliRED D-dimer result, combined with the Wells Clinical Prediction Rule for PE, is also effective in excluding the presence of PE<sup>7</sup>
- PESI score consists of routinely available clinical findings, and does not require laboratory tests or radiographic procedures that are not routinely conducted in the management of PE<sup>8</sup>

Diagnostic accuracy and patient management of DVT and PE is improved when clinical probability is determined prior to diagnostic testing. 1,5,8,9

<sup>\*</sup>Measured 10 cm below tibial tuberosity.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup>These tools are not intended to replace clinical judgment.

### **Assessing and Diagnosing PE**

#### Wells Clinical Prediction Rule for PE7,10

Clinical Feature	Score
Clinical signs and symptoms of DVT (minimum of leg swelling and pain with palpation of the deep veins)	3
An alternative diagnosis is less likely than PE	3
Heart rate >100 beats per minute	1.5
Immobilization or surgery in the previous 4 weeks	1.5
Previous DVT/PE	1.5
Hemoptysis	1
Malignancy (ongoing treatment, treatment within 6 months, or receiving palliative care)	1

#### Calculating Your Patients' Pretest Probability of PE<sup>7,10</sup>

Probability	Points
High	>6
Moderate	2-6
Low	<2

Adapted with permission from Wells PS et al. Thromb Haemost. 2000;83(3):418.

#### PESI Score<sup>8</sup>

Clinical Finding/Feature	Points
Demographic characteristics	'
Age	+1 per year
Male gender	+10
Comorbid illnesses	·
Cancer (history of cancer or active cancer)	+30
Heart failure	+10
Chronic lung disease	+10
Clinical findings	
Pulse ≥110 beats per minute	+20
Systolic blood pressure <100 mm Hg	+30
Respiratory rate ≥30 breaths per minute	+20
Temperature <36°C (<96.8°F)	+20
Altered mental status*	+60
Arterial oxygen saturation <90%†	+20

## Calculating Your Patients' 30-Day PE Mortality Risk<sup>8</sup>

Class (Risk)	Point Total
Class I (very low)	≤65
Class II (low)	66-85
Class III (intermediate)	86–105
Class IV (high)	106–125
Class V (very high)	>125

PESI score provides HCPs with a validated, practical tool that accurately identifies low-risk and very low-risk patients with PE who may be potential candidates for outpatient treatment or early hospital discharge.8

Total point score for a patient is calculated by adding the patient's age in years and adding the points for each applicable characteristic. Modified and reprinted with permission from Aujesky D et al. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med*. 2005;172(8):1043. Copyright © 2013 American Thoracic Society. This modified table is based on the original table available from www.atsjournals.org.

# Visit CarePathHealthyEngagements.com for more resources. For a comprehensive list of affordability programs, please visit JanssenPrescriptionAssistance.com.

References: 1. Spyropoulos AC, Turpie AGG. Venous thromboembolism management: where do novel anticoagulants fit? Curr Med Res Opin. 2013;29(7):783-790. 2. Bates SM, Jaeschke R, Stevens SM, et al. Diagnosis of DVT: Antithrombotic Therapy and Prevention of Thrombosis, 9th ed: American College of Chest Physicians evidence-based clinical practice guidelines. Chest. 2012;141(2 suppl):e351S-e418S. 3. Qaseem A, Snow V, Barry P, et al. Current diagnosis of venous thromboembolism in primary care: a clinical practice guideline from the American Academy of Family Physicians and the American College of Physicians. Ann Fam Med. 2007;5(1):57-62. 4. Donzé J, Le Gal G, Fine MJ, et al. Prospective validation of the Pulmonary Embolism Severity Index: a clinical prognostic model for pulmonary embolism. Thromb Haemost. 2008;100(5):943-948.

5. Wells PS, Anderson DR, Bormanis J, et al. Value of assessment of pretest probability of deep-vein thrombosis in clinical management. Lancet. 1997;350(9094):1795-1798.

6. Wells PS, Anderson DR, Rodger M, et al. Evaluation of p-dimer in the diagnosis of suspected deep-vein thrombosis. N Engl J Med. 2003;349(13):1227-1235. 7. Wells PS, Anderson DR, Rodger M, et al. Derivation of a simple clinical model to categorize patients probability of pulmonary embolism: increasing the models utility with the SimpliRED p-dimer. Thromb Haemost. 2000;83(3):416-420. 8. Aujesky D, Obrosky DS, Stone RA, et al. Derivation and validation of a prognostic model for pulmonary embolism.

Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 2005;172(8):1041-1046. 9. Wells PS, Owen C, Doucette S, Fergusson D, Tran H. Does this patient have deep vein thrombosis? JAMA.

2006;295(2):199-207. 10. Wells PS, Ginsberg JS, Anderson DR, et al. Use of a clinical model for safe management of patients with suspected pulmonary embolism.

Ann Intern Med. 1998;129(12):997-1005.

<sup>\*</sup>Defined as disorientation, lethargy, stupor, or coma.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup>With and without administration of supplemental oxygen.